

# BRICS Network Legal Portal

## TEST SCENARIO SUITE: FIVE CASE SIMULATIONS

Live-area case simulations for rule-based intake validation across the legal.bricsnetwork.net domain.

Destination: [legal.bricsnetwork.net](https://legal.bricsnetwork.net)



[legal.bricsnetwork.net](https://legal.bricsnetwork.net)



CONFIDENTIAL: TEST USE

20/02/2026

Consumer Rust	Consumer Rust	So R
Employment Navy	Employment Navy	E
Data Breach Crimson	Data Breach Crimson	

Scenario

# The 5-Scenario Matrix

Scenario Name  
**Garden Work Dispute**  
Legal Area Tag  
Civil / Consumer / Contractual Law

**Contractual Moss**  
(#6B8E5E)



Primary Material Type:  
Notes / summary

Notes / summary

20 Feb 2025

Scenario Name  
**Faulty Laptop**  
Legal Area Tag  
Civil / Consumer / Contractual Law

**Consumer Rust**  
(#B85C38)



Primary Material Type:  
Emails / correspondence

Seller claims 'user damage,' denies warranty.

10/03/2025 - 02/04/2025

Scenario Name  
**Delayed Building Repairs**  
Legal Area Tag  
Environmental / Housing Law

**Housing Lead**  
(#8C7B6B)



Primary Material Type:  
Complaint / statement

Landlord inaction on roof leak reported in January 2026.

ONGOING

Scenario Name  
**Unpaid Wages**  
Legal Area Tag  
Employment / Tribunal Law

**Employment Navy**  
(#3D4F6F)



Primary Material Type:  
Timeline / chronology

£3,400 in unpaid salary across two months.

RULE-BASED INTAKE MAP

Scenario Name  
**Data Breach**  
Legal Area Tag  
Data Protection & Info Law

**Data Breach Crimson**  
(#9B2C3E)



Primary Material Type:  
Emails / correspondence

Unauthorized access to health and fitness records.

RULE-BASED INTAKE MAP

Introducing  
**Scenario 1 Case Card**  
 Validating contractual intake routing and fixed-price obligation recognition.

Domain lock: legal.bricsnetwork.net

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

# Case Card

Legal Area tag: Contractual Moss #6B8E5E)

Refined content

Respondent: Employer (individual client)

Material Type: Notes and summary documentation

# Scenario 1: Garden Work Dispute — Case Card

### Key Facts

- £490 fixed-price garden clearance agreement.
- Work completed early (within 3 days) without defects.
- Employer paid £270 via bank transfer.
- Employer withholding £220 arbitrarily without identifying defects or breach.
- Dispute: unilateral partial payment refusal following satisfactory early completion.

### Highlights




### Highlight

**Outstanding amount £220 (Contractual Refusal Test).**

# Scenario 1: Garden Work Dispute — legal.bricsnetwork.net

**TEST USE**



# Scenario 1: Evidence & Timeline



## Contract:

*Garden clearance at 14 Acacia Avenue*  
hand emd **fixed price £490** and had to  
employment.

Signed: *[Client Name]*

Signed: *[Contractor Name]*



Institulators and  
institutional style

fixed-price  
established

"Estimated  
completion  
within one week."



partial  
payment  
proof

27/02/2025

Receipt Date &  
Partial Payment


Chain of Custody

Name: _____	Date: _____
Address: _____	
Form: _____	Custave: _____
Format: _____	Date: _____

# Scenario 1: Legal Hook

Contractual default / partial payment refusal

[Case Ref: C-001]



## INTAKE VALIDATION TAG CLUSTER

- Fixed Price
- Early Completion
- Unilateral Deduction
- No Breach

Wrongful Obligation  
 Client's Unilateral Deduction: £220 | Paid: £270

Scenario tests rule-based engine logic to distinguish performance timing from payment obligations. A fixed-price contract is not time-based. Unilateral deduction of £220 is [redacted] £220 is wrongful retention of contractually owed funds.

refined from under 150 words

TEST USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

# Scenario 2: Faulty Laptop — Case Card

**Scenario Name:** Faulty Laptop

**Legal Area Tag:** Civil / Consumer / Contractual

## Respondent:

TechWorld UK

## Material Type:

Emails & correspondence

## Key Facts

- **£899** Laptop Model **X1** purchased
- Screen failed within **3 weeks**
- TechWorld UK claims '**user damage**' and denies warranty
- Refusal of repair or replacement

WARRANTY REFUSAL FLAGGED

CASE RECEIVED: 2025-04-02



# Scenario 2: Evidence & Timeline

Hean/FFApp email/APR25

Order Confirmation: 10 March 2025  
Laptop Model X1 — £899  
**Warranty: 12 months**

Dear sir confirmation in the moren 20isz Laptop Model X1 – £899.  
You can receive denalit: your eeditiss.  
Please content: confirmaes ally oib-elder cewad he anceional and contact sure that damage as Bortüf versus 12 month warranty.  
You continue to coxcept your information.  
Sincerely,  
*John*  
Conficnt Age

Poppy 4/ App: Transpood: Consumer Pissals: Matrally: Topw noc: 10/03/2025 1

Civic Documentary Seinen

**Service Chat Transcript**  
Date: 2 April 2025

Today message

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

**Agent:** “The screen issue is not covered because it appears to be physical damage.”

CONSUMER GOODS



Calendar Range

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
16	18	19	20	01	22	23

CONSUMER GOODS

**TESTING PORTAL PARSING:**  
Agent statement analysis (“not covered . . . physical damage”).  
Citation callout 7

s.9 Consumer Rights Act 2015

**TESTING PORTAL PARSING:**  
Four-week span calculation (10/03/2025 - 02/04/2025) versus 12-month warranty.  
Citation callout 7

**TESTING PORTAL PARSING:**  
Four-week span calculation ranges (10/03/2025 - 02/04/2025) 12-month warranty.  
Citation callout 1

**TESTING PORTAL PARSING:**  
£899 price + 12-month warranty terms extraction.  
Citation callout 1

CONSUMER GOODS

# Scenario 2: Legal Hook



## Core Issue:

Unsupported 'user damage' claims are being used for all unjust rejection of statutory consumer rights.

## Analysis Trigger:

Burden of Proof Allocation.

## TechWorld UK's Challenge:

The burden of proving user-caused damage causation lies with TechWorld UK, not the consumer.

## Presumption of Fault:

Under s.9 Consumer Rights Act 2015, goods must be of satisfactory quality. Within the first six months, faults are presumed to have existed at delivery.

s.9 CRA 2015: PRESUMED AT DELIVERY



## Portal Logic:

Tests statutory citation linking and burden-of-proof allocation for statutory warranty refusal routing.



Validated: 22/02/2026



RECEIVED: 05/01/2026

# Scenario 3: Delayed Building Repairs — Case Card

Legal Area: Environmental / Housing Law

Housing Lead

## City Lets Property Management

Material Type: Complaint & Statement Documentation



### Key Facts

Roof leak reported'

### Evidence reproduction

### Highlights

Caution Amber (#C99720)

CONFIDENTIAL TEST USE



RECEIVED: 05/01/2026  
FOLLOW-UP 1: 10/01/2026

FOLLOW-UP 1: 15/01/2026

### STATEMENT OF COMPLAINT


Dear City Lets,  
Regarding Flat 3 roof leak reported on 5 Jan 2026, despite three foils follow-ups, no repair has been made. Water is now in two rooms and I have had to move.  
I am have had to move out. I am incurring accommodation costs at

### Key Facts

- Roof leak reported: 05 Jan 2026
- Action promised 'within a week.'
- Three explicit chase communications
- No contractor, no work
- Water damage to two rooms
- Tenant temporarily displaced
- Alternative accommodation costs incurred.

RULE-BASED INTAKE MAP


# Scenario 3: Evidence & Timeline



**HOUSING DISREPAIR: SYSTEMIC INACTION**

The evidence chain demonstrates explicit landlord acknowledgment of the defect, a **clear promise of timely repair**, and **systemic inaction** spanning six weeks. The portal must parse ongoing time-lines, multiple chase events, and landlord admission for housing disrepair workflows.

# Scenario 3: Legal Hook



# Scenario 4: Unpaid Wages — Case Card

Legal Area: Employment / Tribunal Law

Respondent: Employer — Speedy Deliveries Ltd



**UNPAID WAGES  
CLAIM FILED**

Respondent  
**Speedy Deliveries Ltd**

## Key Facts

- **CLAIMANT:** Driver, Nov 2025 – Jan 2026.
- **SALARY:** £1,700 per month (contractual).
- November wages paid in full.
- December and January wages remain completely unpaid.
- A straightforward failure to pay contractual remuneration for work already performed.
- No dispute as to hours worked or amount due.



Speedy Deliveries Ltd Payslip  
Data: 29/01/2026  
Gestions: £1,700  
SpeedyDeliveries Ltd

Description	Amount	Loss
Driver		
November wages	£1,700	
Completely unpaid	In. Abs	£1,400
		£200
		£3,000
		£3,400

EMPLOYMENT  
TRIBUNAL FILED

Total Quantified Loss:  
**£3,400**  
Accounts are behind,  
you'll get it soon.

Citation callout: § s.13 ERA 1996

# Scenario 4: Evidence & Timeline

**STATEMENT ANALYSIS**

Employment Navy

Employment Navy

## Unpaid Wages – Case Card

### Key Facts

Driver, Nov 2025–Jan 2026.  
Contractual salary: £1,700/month.  
Payment on last working day.

### Evidence Reproduction

**Speedy Deliveries Ltd**

### Highlights

Zero payments: Dec 2025 & Jan 2026.  
Total Arrears: £3,400  
Unpaid Wages / breach of contract.



Redaction smarkes:

[Redacted]

30/11/2025

November	
Bank statement	Timestamp
Pay	£1,700
December 2025	£0.00
January 2026	£0.00

### Contract

Salary £1,700 per month, paid on the last working day of the month.

25/10/2025

05/01/2026

Accounts are behind, you'll get it soon.

**DATA BREACH ACCUSATION**

**EMPLOYER ADMISSION OF ARREARS**  
"Manager WhatsApp (05/01/2026) confirms contemporaneous default."  
Citation callout 8

25/10/2025

### Contract


Salary £1,700 per month, paid on the last working day of the simn of the month.


# Scenario 4: Legal Hook

**CASE HOOK ANALYSIS**  
(Employment Navy (#3D4F6F))

**LIABILITY ADMISSION**  
(Employment Navy (#3D4F6F))

**£3,400**  
Total Arrears Calculation  
(2 months \* £1,700/month)




 WhatsApp

**Manager (05/01/2026):** "accounts are behind" — you'll get it soon.

10:57 PM ✓✓

TIMESTAPP 05/01/2028



Contract Default Proven -> **Admission Parsed** ->  
Exact Arrears Calculated -> Route to **Employment Tribunal**



Tue: 23/01/2025  
Focineaur unpaid wages  
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed diam nonummy nibh euismod tincidunt ut laoreet dolore magna aliquam. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex commodo consequat.

Data category Bags  
**Claimant ID**  
**Claim Type: Unpaid Wages**

The: d1S26/8826  
Claim type: unpaid wages  
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed diam nonummy nibh euismod tincidunt ut laoreet dolore magna aliquam. Ut laoreet calum scelerisque eufoniae quis voluip: quis nostrud etiam sitam aliquo et noim od mrim exelaret etiq ep ee caezian ba maccoset.

 **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL WORKFLOW**

TEST BP\*05T /1001 /2026




# SCENARIO 5: DATA BREACH — CASE CARD

## Key Facts

Respondent:	PRIVATE COMPANY — HEALTHFIT APP
Legal Area:	DATA PROTECTION & INFORMATION LAW
Material Type	EMAILS & CORRESPONDENCE

- Breach occurred on 15 Feb 2026.
- HealthFit notified affected users on 20 Feb 2026 (5-day delay).
- Exposed Data: Name, email address, DOB, Fitness/Health Records (SPECIAL CATEGORY)
- No financial compensation offered.
- “Company provided only ‘free credit monitoring’ as a remedy.”



**DATA BREACH  
ACCUSATION**

**HealthFit**

Date: 20/02/2026  
ent. 12/07/2

from: HealthFit  
To: [redacted]@t.com>  
Subject: HealthFit  
Subject: Inomo

Dear [redacted]

The compan wiho acrewed **data security incident** tent you to toam reports inroreceived or unauthormisetaadem-or comraings or applicating dere and tline of solio dant trancome o data security incident.

**unauthorised party accessed user records.**

unauthorised party accessed user records with monthed as active: conclusion and mess-ss:rest repunied and potent access of your patients.

Companyyromixed only "free creediter result s scannoised and remarked psecords guns urtenron in and an unteraenited securiting compection of oniltevel cotatruction.

er sincerely,

## HIGHLIGHTS

SPECIAL CATEGORY DATA EXPOSURE  
ART. 9 GDPR ISSUE  
NOTIFICATION DELAYED (5 days)




# Scenario 5: Evidence & Timeline

Data Breach — Special Category Data in **Data Breach Crimson (#9B2C3E)**

**From:** HealthFit App  
**Date:** 20/02/2026  
**Subject:** Data Security Incident Notification

Dear [User],

We are writing to inform you of an unauthorised access to our systems on **15 February 2026**. User records were compromised, including specific personal data.



Data categories are exposed badges:

Name

Email

DOB

Workout History



- Data Fields Collected:
- Name
  - Email
  - DOB
  - Fitness Activity

Respondent	Private Company (HealthFit App)
Material Type	Communications / Documents
Pattern	Breach + Delayed Notification

# Scenario 5: Legal Hook

**PORTAL**

Data Breach | GDPR | Special Category | Compensation

**CORE ISSUE:** Personal data breach (health data, delayed notification, inadequate remedy)

- Data controller HealthFit failed breach notification duties (Art 33-34) and adequate remedy (Art 9 special data protection).  
*(names et al. HealthFit, aotirt, 33-34, Cititoun ourat, 20/20/2026)*
- Health record exposure distress must be addressed.
- tests GDPR tagging, special-category recognition, and remedy adequacy scoring.



## ARTICLE 9 GDPR

“Enhanced health data protection for special categories.”

## ARTICLES 33-34 GDPR

“Controller breach notification duties to authority & individuals.”

**OFFERED**



£0

(CREDIT MONITORING)

**POTENTIAL CLAIM**



£TBD ESTIMATED  
COMPENSATION



**RULE-BASED  
INTAKE MAP**

**CONFIDENTIAL:**


CONFIDENTIAL. TEST USE



# BRICS Network Legal Portal

Search...

## CROSS-SCENARIO PATTERN ANALYSIS



### DATA BREACH ACCUSATION

*"Our back-end confirms cross-case logic and pattern-matching algorithms are active and validated."*

- Lead Developer, Legal Tech Portal

Backend Validation Confirmed: Validating cross-case logic and pattern-matching. Matrix cross-references evidence types, time anchors, and respondent categories across multiple law areas. (5 instances: 2 Contractual, 1 Housing/Env., 1 Employment, 1 Data Protection).

**RULE-BASED INTAKE MAP**

**PORTAL LOGIC VALIDATED**